**RSEP Fast Track-Registry Lock**

October 7, 2019

**Registry Operator**
Charleston Road Registry Inc.

**Request Details**
Case Number: 00938645

# Service Description

This service request should be used to submit a Registry Services Evaluation Policy (RSEP) request to implement the Registry Lock service. The purpose of the Registry Lock Service is to help protect against accidental or inadvertent modifications to, deletions, or transfers of domain name registration data.

The statements below reflect the standard implementation of the proposed service with no apparent significant security or stability issues as well as the standard amendment language for Registry Lock. Please note this request will be published on the ICANN org RSEP webpage upon completion of the Completeness Check, which will be within 5 days from submission.

If any of the statements below do not apply to your registry's implementation of the proposed service, this RSEP Fast Track request may not be used. Instead, you must submit a standard "RSEP (Registry Services Evaluation Policy)" request. Please click here for more information about the process.

Complete the information requested below. All answers marked with a red asterisk are required. Click the Save button to save your work and click the Submit button to submit to ICANN.

## Registry Operator Confirmation

1. My registry requests to implement the Registry Lock service for the TLDs included in this request.

Yes

2. My registry confirms the amendment language below represents the proposed service in this RSEP request and my registry agrees to execute the Registry Agreement amendment without

modification.

*Note: amendment execution will occur once the request has successfully passed ICANN Review for security, stability, and competition.*

**Registry Lock**
Registry Operator may offer the Registry Lock service, which is a Registry Service that allows an authorized representative from the sponsoring Registrar to request the activation or deactivation of any of the following EPP statuses: serverUpdateProhibited, serverDeleteProhibited, and/or serverTransferProhibited.

Yes

# Competition Questions

1. Do you believe the proposed service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain.

We believe that the service will have a positive effect on competition. With respect to competition between registries, Charleston Road Registry d/b/a Google Registry (

2. How would you define the markets in which the proposed service would compete?

The proposed service will compete in all markets in which Google Registry TLDs are sold.

3. What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to the proposed service?

Other registries (e.g. Afilias, Verisign, fTLD Registry, Top Level Design, and more) already offer registry lock as a service in their TLDs. By offering registry lock as a service, Google Registry does not prevent other registries from offering the service in their TLDs.

4. In view of your status as a Registry Operator, would the introduction of the proposed service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?

No, we do not believe that offering registry lock in Google Registry's TLDs will impair the ability of other companies that provide the same service to compete. Offering registry lock in Google Registry's TLDs has no effect on the ability of other registries to do the same.

5. Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide.

Google Registry outsources all of its registry services to Google LLC and will do the same in offering registry lock, and will work with its registrar channel to implement the service. No other vendors will be used.

6. Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of the proposed service? If so, please describe the communications.

Google Registry has discussed its plans to offer registry lock with multiple registry partners, including corporate registrars who are the primary users of the service. All registrars with whom the proposed service was discussed were strongly supportive of the proposal to introduce registry lock to Google Registry TLDs.

7. Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of this proposed service? If so, please submit them with your application. ICANN will keep the documents confidential.

**Affected TLDs**

| Current Registry Operator | Top Level Domain | Registry Agreement Date |
|---|---|---|
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | ads | 2014-12-04 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | android | 2014-08-07 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | app | 2015-05-14 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | boo | 2014-01-30 |

| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | cal | 2014-07-24 |
|---|---|---|
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | channel | 2014-05-08 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | chrome | 2014-07-24 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | dad | 2014-01-23 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | day | 2014-01-30 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | dclk | 2014-11-20 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | dev | 2014-10-16 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | docs | 2014-10-16 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | drive | 2015-03-05 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | eat | 2014-01-23 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | esq | 2014-05-08 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | fly | 2014-05-08 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | foo | 2014-01-23 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | gbiz | 2014-07-17 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | gle | 2014-07-24 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | gmail | 2014-05-01 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | goog | 2014-11-20 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | google | 2014-07-24 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | guge | 2014-08-28 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | hangout | 2014-11-13 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | here | 2014-02-06 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | how | 2014-01-23 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | ing | 2014-01-23 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | map | 2016-06-09 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | meet | 2014-01-16 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | meme | 2014-01-30 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | mov | 2014-01-30 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | new | 2014-01-30 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | nexus | 2014-07-24 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | page | 2014-12-04 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | phd | 2016-07-28 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | play | 2015-03-05 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | prod | 2014-01-23 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | prof | 2014-07-24 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | rsvp | 2014-05-08 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | search | 2016-06-09 |

| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | soy | 2014-01-23 |
|---|---|---|
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | xn--flw351e | 2014-07-31 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | xn--q9jyb4c | 2013-09-17 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | xn--qcka1pmc | 2014-07-31 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | youtube | 2014-05-01 |
| Charleston Road Registry Inc. | zip | 2014-05-08 |