## **Replenishment Strategy - Responses**

	Less	ICANN Proposal	More
ICANN Contribution		ALAC GNSO ccNSO GOI <b>\$15M</b>	i2Coalition RySG BC NCSG Individuals IPC RrSG <b>\$25M \$68M</b>
Auction Proceeds	i2Coalition RrSG NCSG RySG <b>Disagree</b> <b>to use</b>	BC <sup>1</sup> IPC <sup>1</sup> <b>\$36M</b>	ALAC ccNSO <sup>2</sup> <b>25% of AP \$68M</b>
New gTLD leftover	RySG <b>Disagree</b> to use	BC ccNSO <b>\$17M</b>	IPC <b>\$43M</b>
Fees Increase		RySG ccNSO RrSG IPC i2Coalition NCSG GNSO \$0M	ALAC <b>\$0.02 / domain name</b>

All but the BC, ccNSO, IPC and ALAC believe remaining shortfall of \$17M should come from ICANN contribution



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The BC and IPC believe it is possible to use Auction Proceeds but should wait for the CCWG final report

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The ccNSO recommends immediate full replenishment from Auction Proceeds, with \$15M ICANN contribution reimbursed to Auction Proceeds fund over 5 years