GDD Summit Survey: Open-ended responses
17-19 May 2016

7. Were there topics you felt should have been included but were not?

Showing 1 to 20 of 62

1. test test test

2. Technical issues related to operation

3. No

4. more marketing/sales/cross-sharing sessions (in main room rather than off in side rooms)

5. ICANN legal and their role in our day-to-day operations. How does ICANN internal escalation work? Who makes the last decision on a matter?

6. n/a

7. missed first morning so not sure if this was disucussed but an overview of current ICANN topics would be useful

8. Yes but they related to policy and apparently this was a forbidden topic during the GDD summit.

9. Alternative ESCROW Services

10. consumer choice and trust

11. None

12. - Regional Registrars to update the key sales trend in that region.

13. GDD Performance (Service Levels)

14. -

15. No

16. all over statistics, getld name server network check (as presented in istanbul last year)

17. Home/Corp/Mail discussion

18. More time for operational concerns between registries and registrars and more sessions leading to real take-away action items

19. a bit more about the relation to issues with registrants, how we can help adopt processes that make domain handling, verification, transfer, registration etc. more simple and easily understandable

20. More practical discussions on CZDS.

21. I would like to see more about data and knowledge sharing, from the respect of trying to discover what information we can all share and benefit from in order to drive sales of new TLDs

22. registry-specific and registrar-specific sessions

23. Discussion about Ry and Rr fees, ICANN spending, and options for "rightsizing"

24. N/a

25. Regional Registrars to update the key sales trend in their region.

26. - The idea of including marketing and branding topics was good, but the session was awful. I would love having more marketing or business analysis sessions, but more practical, with best practices examples.

27. CZDS

28. Pricing on legacy TLD's vs. new TLD's and awareness of new TLD's.

29. operations that are more relevant to registries that are not part of the domain industry. e.g. brands

30. Real problems about registries and registrars, as, why do they registries have their own policies and not share them. Just not to make us going crazy. I mean, all of them have different ways of working and we are not helped

31. I felt the agenda was well suited to the purpose.

32. Security and technical topics which would allow more teams to come from the bigger organisations

33. Engaging the wider audience, the end users, and other stakeholders.

34. none

35. ICANN Fees

36. We could so more regarding abuse

37. None yet

38. None - all my topics of interest were included.

39. N/A

40. More single registry topics (brands, geos, direct issues with ICANN).

41. No. I thought the agenda was full and appropriate

42. Additional sessions that focused on .Brand TLDs would be good.

43. Currently no

44. Promotions & Co-marketing campaigns

45. Maybe some more operational sessions

46. more actual doing and less talking

47. Would have been nice to hear more from operators of Brand TLDs.

48. More marketing led discussions and results focused; e.g. what worked for us & why. Helps us learn from each other & meet other marketing teams.

49. Business overview was missing, I would recommend to have someone showing recent trends, recent changes

50. Technology.

51. NO

52. No.

53. No

54. Working session to address the work required to get the industry to the next round. It is critical we as an industry and ICANN drive towards a date on the next round. Without a date the market is at risk of faultering. For the program to be successful, it must be allowed to fully develop or risk being usurped by competing technologies

55. IRTP-C and the disruptive impact of this policy on the whole community.

56. Some of the ICANN policy formulation discussions were less relevant given there are other forums for these discussions.

57. Several breakout discussions specific to .Brand TLDs did not make the agenda.

58. I support the idea of a more streamlined, specific marketing channel, at future GDD meetings.

59. that icann is making effort to putting on more ads about newgtlds to the internet community as a whole.

60. Protection of geographical names / IGO names

61. I know the RSEP discussion was held on day 2 and my team found it useful for discussions. China and the introduction of regional or local jurisdictional requirements and how to address in the future could have been a useful topic.

| 62. | How to help registries and registrars in developing world catching up with their peers in developed world; what are the differences of domain name industry in different countries. |

8. What are 3 things you liked most about the Summit?

Showing 1 to 20 of 112

1. thing 1 thing 2 thing 3

2. • Contracted Party-guided sessions with ICANN Staff present • few simultaneous sessions • smaller attendance = more opportunities to interact with ICANN Staff

3. board participation registry-registrar agenda setting operational/business orientation DNA participation

4. smaller than ICANN, but with alle the people you need to talk to. Easier access to talk to ICANN staff too and meet engagement manager etc.

5. flexible session and topic

6. 1. Board Members attendance 2. Universal Awareness of TLD's related topics discusses a lot whole 3 days

7. opportunity for discussion and debate; Board engagement

8. Networking ICANN attendance Structured agenda

9. networking and meeting topics/choices

10. Adrian :) The more focussed interactive setup than a standard meeting

11. focus on the real business issues and key policy issues related to the Registry and Registrar

12. RDAP session, meeting other participants

13. Rr / Ry engagement. Staff availability.

14. Interaction between parties Action items identified

15. Dynamically adapting to discussion themes

16. Not having to deal with other parts of the community

17. Manageable size Focus Participation

18. interactivity sessions led by participants 1:1 information exchange between participant / staff

19. Smaller size meeting; non policy focus; interactive format

20. location icann staff here

21.	Interactions between participants was more pragmatic than during regular ICANN meetings Agenda was decided by the participants and not imposed on us Having board members listening in to registries and registrars daily issues was also a perk.
22.	Actual Discussions and at least the impression that board members got some of the points.
23.	location and topics
24.	All
25.	contact, contact, contact. and more informal than official meetings.
26.	Interaction with the ICANN Board and ICANN staff; Flexibility of agenda; Focus on non-policy issues
27.	- Interaction session between Ry and Rr.
28.	Networking An open line to ICANN Industry driven session and not ICANN driven
29.	The location, community led discussions, ICANN staff absent session.
30.	The venue, interactional meetings and interesting meeting topics.
31.	- Interactivity from the audience - Very good speakers (Adrian Kinderis, the DNA guy, ...) - Its proximity (we're based in Rotterdam)
32.	contacts with ICANN board and staff a lot of discussions a high professional level of paticipants
33.	Internet security which is a treat to the business world and to private individuals too.
34.	communication
35.	Size- not too big. Openness of all parties to discuss issues ICANN Staff's willingness to be very solution driven with problem solving.
36.	1. Interactive sessions 2. Interesting topics and; 3. Useful and constructive discussions.
37.	interactive nature operational focus
38.	Interaction
39.	Not policy related
40.	networking, geotld meeting
41.	The interactive debate. The close availability of food and drinks provided throughout the day The nightly receptions for networking. The smaller group meetings after the main meeting the first day on specific subjects were very helpful in moving forward proactively.

42.	Watching Registries and Registrars debate issues Trying to solve issues without ICANN involvement
43.	Smaller crowd, more relaxed atmosphere, better contacts with Icann staff
44.	catered lunch led to great networking possibilitites arranged networking sessions like the welcoming drink session on monday evening the fact that in some sessions we actually came to solutions and suggestiosn on how to move forward
45.	1. open discussions 2. focus on the practical side 3. being able to talk to icann staff
46.	Networking opportunity Open forum and discussion between registries, registrars, and ICANN board and staff Opportunity to sit down with ICANN one on one
47.	communication and idea exchnage
48.	focus on registries & registrars and their business only, not the policy element
49.	good schedule, fine attendance, and general direction towards industry issues more than policy
50.	the layout of the rooms. the nuber of people. the open discussions.
51.	Nice venue + food. Good presenters. Collaborative/networking
52.	Size, location, 1.1 with staff
53.	1 - ICANN Board attendance and active participation 2 - Opportunities for networking (breaks, lunch, cocktail hours)
54.	Attendees (total + diversity of roles) Opportunity to interact with Board members Venue
55.	GDD staff/ Board presence, less powerpoints, more interaction
56.	- Networking, opportunity to meet other region Ry and Rr. - F2F Meeting opportunity with customers & partners. - Best practice sharing and decision making among industry leaders.
57.	- The length (3 days) - The venue (Amsterdam has good connections) - The opportunity to meet the registrars
58.	Food was there for lunches - then people will not go outside and will be kept close to the program.
59.	Venue location, networking opportunities, sessions about marketing.
60.	opportunity to meet other registries
61.	right amount of people more interaction more focused on the right things
62.	less overhead focus on practical issues easy to reach (2h train ride)

63.	I had the oportunity to talk with registries I could talk in a short time with a lot of people it was easy to access the hotel
64.	The open environment with all participants in the same room, the format of the event was great - as Adrian said, having lunch on-site was a big help. The ability to meet with business peers in this environment was awesome.
65.	Focus on the contracted parties, ample time with GDD staff, concrete follow-up actions set.
66.	The ability to interact with ICANN staff , registries and registrars
67.	Location. Ability to network and plenty of round tables to use for all the scheduled meetings. P.S. food was great too. Did not expect that much from a Dutch hotel ;)
68.	topics were very relevant networking flexibility
69.	Board presence; ability to network; ability to cut through the BS present at ICANN meetings
70.	Location Able to network with staff regarding ongoing PDP's and IRT's. Good line up of sessions and speakers, this one was better then the 2013 one.
71.	1. Face-to-face communication with ICANN staff. 2. Free discussion. 3. Good host
72.	1) The content; 2) the location; 3) the timing of the session before the policy meeting.
73.	Great topics Greater feeling of participation between Registries/Registrars Availability of Registry/Registrars
74.	Location Easy Meetings Organization
75.	- Excellent mix of stakeholders, and high participation of ICANN staff and Board members. - Sessions were intense but timing of each was just right in order to hold attention and keep focus - Good debriefing and wrap up
76.	focused, concise, relevant
77.	- Networking - Hearing concerns from other sides - ICANN board involvement
78.	Board attendance and engagement. A lot of new faces Format seemed to work
79.	Focused dialogue between registries, registrars Networking attendance bu ICANN staff and BOD
80.	Networking opportunities. Access to ICANN Staff. .Brand session (see above).
81.	topics people food

82.	- The possitibility to meet face to face Registry/Registrars/Provider's representative people - The Grand Ballroom saloon for meetings - The structure of tables for networking
83.	The quality of attendees, the location and access to it, the length of the meeting overall
84.	Less policy, smaller setup
85.	Frank discussions Openness Different attendees from a traditional ICANN meeting
86.	Networking Opportunities, relaxed atmosphere to conduct business talks, catering
87.	great attendees, no policies, Hands on talks
88.	1. Anyone could speak 2. Easy to take conversations offline 3. Right people were in the right place at the right time
89.	1. The social media session 2. Chance to meet other marketing teams from other TLDs (we had to search quite hard for them!) 3. The spread of seminars and talks & time keeping
90.	Level of attendance and mix of ICANN staff, ICANN board, registries, and registrars.
91.	Adrian Kinderis, less than formal approach to hosting. The open conversation between Registry & Registrar best practices. Pain point discussions between ICANN and Registry operators.
92.	Good presentations Focused Networking opportunities
93.	Good hallway discussions. Good participation from those that did.
94.	open forum; input of Board and overall collective engagement
95.	Hotel and location the ease of conducting private meetings
96.	Opportunity to meet the Community, lots of audience participation and expanded my knowledge beyond policy issues.
97.	Observing real industry problems being discussed
98.	1. Focus on the business needs 2. Industry attendees 3. Great city
99.	1. It was helpful to have members of the ICANN Board actively listening and participating in the sessions. I'm not suggesting the Board be involved at an operational level, but it's unclear just how much information ICANN staff shares and or should share with the Board. If the Board is to be involved at a strategic level they need to be more aware of the business needs and issues of ICANN's contracted parties. 2. Always appreciate the networking opportunity and particularly the ability to meet with our registrars. 3. As a small registry

	operator, it's good to hear about the pain points of our peers and to learn from those that have both come before us and that are larger than us.
100.	Not super crowded as other ICANN meetings, a lot of useful contact and business leads.
101.	More relevant discussions compared to ICANN meetings. Less politics and hearing the same arguments from the same people who don't actually do the work, instead more factual based discussions among those actually involved. Smaller size compared to ICANN meetings.
102.	Adrian Kinderis (very good facilitator) Venue was conducive to the meeting. Ability to interact with others in the industry and make connections were extremely valuable.
103.	Live input from Board members; industry collaboration on best practices; much nicer venue that other ICANN meetings.
104.	1)Informal atmosphere and good opportunities to network. 2) Candidate conversations
105.	networking, events, the venue.
106.	ability to talk with the people I needed most, ability to suggest items for the summit during it, schedule less conflicted than during the usual ICANN meetings
107.	Networking opportunities Sharing ideas/concerns with fellow registrars & registry partners Identifying things we can fix right away and getting volunteers to actually own them
108.	attendee's affiliation is well mixed.
109.	a place for people in the circle to meet
110.	The inter-action; excellent moderating and great venue
111.	Focus on implementation more than establishment of policy. Contracted party focus It was not the ICANN show.
112.	Interaction with staff; meet new people in the industry; the overall atmosphere.

9. Do you have any suggestions for improving future Summits?

Showing 1 to 20 of 81

1.	Fewer simultaneous sessions; ICANN Staff attendance; ICANN Board attendance; no (or VERY few) Staff-guided sessions

2.	More breakout sessions more action-based sessions
3.	try more meeting style, e.g. Rapid Fire
4.	The remote participation was difficult. I understand that it is preferable to be there in person, but not everyone can afford to attend. It was particularly unhelpful that people frequently did not identify themselves when speaking. Some voices are very recognisable, others are not. One of the feedback comments was that there were a number of new faces in the room, so even those physically present, if they weren't ICANN regulars, would probably have found it helpful for people to be identifying themselves. Staff did also try to monitor the Adobe room, but generally it did not feel worthwhile to make comments in it since it did not feel that the Adobe chatroom was really being taken into account - or at least it wasn't a real time engagement. I would have preferred that the same one person was not running the show every day - let's not hear so much from a single voice.
5.	If possible sub text to the headline agenda so it is easier to understand what to attend
6.	more decision making (goal to have an outcome to an issue)
7.	Dont cut day 3 "short" on sessions - there is a huge cost in time, hotels, flights etc to come and for 2.x days it's expensive Leave a hour "open" each day for attendees to put forward other discussion topics
8.	Transcripts and Presentation Available to the remote participants.
9.	Update from the Board regarding what's on their plate for the upcoming meeting.
10.	More small breakout sessions that are action oriented. Post session recordings soon after sessions completed.
11.	Going a bit back for the first summit unconference format.
12.	Better advance scheduling ie. having 1 or 2 summits scheduled a year in advance
13.	Dont allow to grow in scope (and hence size and lost focus)
14.	(1)Provide agenda much earlier. For some participants to get travel approval without an agenda. By the time the agenda was provided the conference hotel was fully booked. (2) Have more breakout sessions.
15.	come to London!
16.	Maybe allow GAC members willing to know more about the operational side of the issues they have to deal with attend the summit. Notwithstanding the above, ensure that the Summit be attended by contracted parties to avoid it turning into a general ICANN meeting.

17. Providing a detailed agenda at least 30 days before the summit would be very beneficial. It was very difficulty to get travel approval for this meeting when it was unclear what topics were going to be discussed.

18. more time to engage fellow registrars as a group

19. - distribute presentation and reading material ahead of time - 1:1 session with ICANN staff to be in multiple days as option; if possible, include the day before the meeting start day.

20. Not for now.

21. More workshop type of meetings for smaller groups. Not everyone is comfortable with talking to microphone and hundreds of people.

22. Try to get as many attendees as possible!

23. Aspiring participants should be coached well in order not to have tight time in processing their travel transactions. as in my case, i don't have enough time to process for my visa, as such i was unable to attend. but wish to attend the Denmark fellowship.

24. Please keep the locations close to Hub airports. Preferably in Europe and N. America.

25. Don't do it to often

26. more smaller groups instead of frontal sessions

27. The Board should always be invited and encourage to attend. The summit should be close in timing to the policy only ICANN meeting Be more mindful of the popular meetings and be able to switch the main room with the side room when it is clear there has been a mistake made in the assignment based on popularity. Keep an open space or two on the agenda the second day for hot topics that come up the first day and need more debate.

28. Adrian needs to be more respectful/professional. I appreciate his energy, passion, and desire to engage the group. But he can do that, without insulting or belittling others.

29. work even more to move away from more traditional ICANN meeting sessions and presentations Love the idea of a "fake Audit" workshop for example

30. Put name and company on both sides of the name tags, make company larger on name tag or color coded so it is easy to identify what entity each person is from

31. break out workshops where ideas are transformed into actions

32. more space for 1/1 meetings would be good. lunch on Thursday also would be nice.

33. one more room to have more workshops ?

34. * Add video to remote participation * Also consider online comments, they were largely ignored this time - at least it felt this way.

35. More opportunities for smaller breakout groups that encourage more active participation by less vocal attendees

36. Coordinate timing with Board workshops Even more interactive sessions

37. Great summit - continue to offer them each year

38. - distribute presentation and reading material ahead of time. - Provide multiple days option for 1:1 session with ICANN staff.

39. More practical subjects of interest of the registry and registrars

40. employ an independent summit facilitator that has no ICANN or domain industry agenda. This should ensure that proceedings are more even handed and moderated properly interactive breakout sessions where people can participate in small work groups where there is a topic that they can discuss. then present the findings to the larger audience audience participation through the use of voting multiple choice questions. less domination by the domain industry. Brand registries fall outside this industry and have very different business and operating criteria

41. even more interactive meetings. Maybe even in smaller groups

42. the absence of scribes was a challange for some of the non-native speakers

43. The place needed more tables to talk to everybody It would be better choose cheapper hotels to do the conferences, in order to sleep there and profit the time The meal was not as good as we expected There were only sweet things to eat at breakfast

44. I liked the format and would like to see it continue. I think we need to try to keep the number of concurrent tracks to a minimum; I would rather have an extra day of conference and be able to attend all relevant sessions. If there are concurrent tracks, we inevitably have to make hard choices on where to contribute. PLEASE UPDATE THE BADGES TO BE DOUBLE-SIDED OR NON-FLIPPING LANYARDS. It seems like a small thing, but roughly 50% of the time I was not able to see who I was talking to because their badge had flipped over.

45.	More break out sessions and interactive session instead of full ballroom sessions if the attendance grows.
46.	2 days is enough we need to have interactive surveys during each session to get a sense of where the industry is , MarkMonitor (Matt Serlin) use this function in all their symposiums also each topic gets marked on after each session so it ensure all feedback is captured . it would also be useful to have a presentation from registries that have gone live
47.	Keep them regular and plan/finalise agenda at least one month in advance. It was not clear who is running the show beforehand.
48.	if sessions are changed there should be away to notify participants more interactivity longer sessions for some topics
49.	Even more interactive sessions
50.	Keep the current format. However use the opportunity for staff to engage more with registries and registrars to seek out pressing PDP/IRT input.
51.	1. To make the Summit more productive and fruitful, it is strongly recommended that after discussions, action items should be listed for which registries and registrars could move on. 2. Please schedule more face-to-face communication opportunities for attendees. As some or maybe many of them want to take these face-to-face communication opportunities to discuss potential possibilities to collaborate on business or projects, rather than only listening to some microphone speakers arguing. 3. There are more than a thousand of ICANN accredited registrars and registries, most of them are not large firms like Google, Neustar, Afilias, GoDaddy, they are small firms. It is much costly for them to attend a meeting held in a different continent. So it is strongly expected that in future GDD Summits, ICANN could increase the number of attendees with travel support for the Contracted Party, like ICANN does for the Non-Contracted Party.
52.	Announce the content of the schedule earlier
53.	Third (half) day felt a bit unnecessary. Also, parallel sessions on Day 2 made for some difficult choices in cases where there was just one company rep in attendance at the event.
54.	No
55.	- Provide agenda earlier so colleagues and others have the opportunity to seek travel approval - Maintain more professional language - Clarify if this is an ICANN or DNA Summit. If it's an ICANN Summit, then other associations should be invited to participate.

56. Perhaps a working lunch/open mic session

57. Finalizing the agenda earlier on in the process; it seemed to be in flux all the way up until the event started.

58. Currently no

59. - Better WiFi connection - Rooms for meetings one-to-one - Food, more healthly

60. Have the agenda firmed up sooner

61. Clear cut between ICANN Meetings and GDD Summit. To many people are attending due to the uncertainty of regulat ICANN Meetings lately.

62. Keep it small and short enough and give space and time for networking

63. Keep the discussions relevant to the topic - at times there were dangers of drifting off-topic e.g. Registry and Registrar Ideas Exchange

64. More marketing & PR focus - it would be good to meet the creative teams behind the TLDs & see which partnerships would be possible.

65. See above 'Where there topics ..."

66. Team of independent external facilitator. Also ccTLDS.

67. longer meeting sessions as each meeting slot ran over.

68. A few more evening events

69. No.

70. Not yet

71. More action oriented working broups

72. This may have been covered toward the end of Thursday's session, but development of a list of action items both for ICANN staff and participants would be helpful. It's great to have dialogue about issues, but if it doesn't translate into action items and results, what's the point? 3. On a purely selfish level, would be great if they are Wed-Fri to enable participants to enjoy the weekend experiencing the culture of the local region.

73. More workshops, breakouts in even smaller groups to discuss topics of interest and come up with solutions.

74. Continue to help build collaboration between the commercial players in the industry. Identify some additional ways to brainstorm ways to remove barriers to the industry to increase commercial opportunities.

75. Breakout sessions for purely legal topics and discussions.

76.	1) Consider working groups to focus on certain issues. 2) Switch up the hosts (they were good but fresh faces would be nice.) 3) Board detracted from getting work done (too much lobbying.)
77.	Less of the one-way discussions on Day 1 that feel more like a business version of a policy meeting; more of an open dialog and soliciting people to own actual next steps toward solutions.
78.	names and organizations on the name tag get bigger for easier recognition.
79.	More encouragement on remote participation; perhaps hubs; encouragement for GAC to attend
80.	Getting more people involved in the agenda. This is not an ICANN issue but a participant issue.
81.	to attract more stakeholders from developing countries and new market.

11. If you answered "No" to the above question, what might we do differently?

Note: Q10: Did you feel comfortable asking questions/sharing your views at this event?

	Showing 1 to 15 of 15
1.	If I had been physically present I certainly would have felt comfortable. The adobe room was not particularly conducive to engaging - although staff were trying to monitor it.
2.	I have never been to an ICANN event before, and feel like I don't have the big picture. The acronyms and terminology are a barrier.
3.	As a 'newbie' I found it a very useful learning session and am sure I will have more to contribute as I become familiar with the topics and historic rationale.
4.	seems like most people are ICANN meeting 'regulars' so i felt an outsider.
5.	.
6.	As ICANN staff I don't feel very comfortable sharing my opinions in these events, unless I am the recognized session leader. I don't think it is a written rule, but it feels like the de-facto rule.
7.	Often the same people are heard; the pro's, very vocal ones, with good English. Do know that there are also others in the room who might also have lots of valuable contributions and/or questions.
8.	Yes and no - It can be intimidating for newcomers and less vocal attendees to speak up.

9. - Accept online participation (questions via Twitter...)

10. it is very clicky and new people to the summit and the ICANN world find it somewhat overwhelming and virtually impossible to enter the inner circle, if they wanted to.

11. It is my personal understanding that most of the attendess are not much as heavily involved in discussions about the agenda or topics of ICANN and the GDD Summit as those veterans from RySG and RrSG. So those attendees have a relatively low level of underdanding of the context of the agenda and topics of ICANN and the GDD Summit. It is overwhelmingly important for ICANN staff to do more engagement work. By "engagement", my personal understanding is that ICANN staff may be more active to talk to attendees and reach out to the attendees during the Summit to solicit their inputs on every topic. So the point is more should be done to engage and "activate" the attendees, rather than only listening to some microphone speakers from such registries as Google, Neustar, Afilias, such registrars as Godaddy etc. One way of such engaging and activating is that when the host or ICANN staff wants to solicit inputs from attendees, they could ask the attendess to write down their comments, views and ideas on paper, making it "compulsory" for attendees.

12. While I have answered yes to the above question, at times there was a little bit too much aggression in driving responses from the audience! Interactive voting could also be another helpful tool, and could help stimulate debate.

13. I was in the minority and didn't believe my comments would have made a difference.

14. Not so proficiency in English

15. Having to get up, walk passed people (knocking them,etc ...) & eventually get to the mic took time and very awkward.

17. Please share any additional comments you may have.

Showing 1 to 20 of 45

1. Regarding attendance at ICANN Meetings vs. GDD Summits. There is a different reason to attend each and ongoing attendance may hinge on how the new Meeting process works out.

2. Maybe if GDD meeting may be held with each ICANN meeting (either before or after), it would be more easy or economic for attendees to join in person.

3. Too many references to drinking, hangovers, Amsterdam nightlife

4. Thanks for good management of agenda, timeslots and speakers

5. As registries and registrars (on behalf of registrants) are the most important people in the "ecosystem" that is domains, the gdd event has been an eseential mechanism for discussion and collaboration

6. Please share progress relative to identified action items.

7. Attendance in the last 1/2 day was a bit low, so some kind of "bait" could be established so people would still be there.

8. Likely to attend in person for such a short summit but not travel too far and attend remotely (although I didnt see much activity from remote participants)

9. Adrian did a great job in moderating - but he should not speak 2/3 of the time commenting / supervising / correcting the answers of the contributers. a moderator is here to moderate - not to state his opinion again and again

10. The only problem I had with attending in person was that the agenda was published so late I could not plan my travel properly.

11. Please discourage facilitators from using power point presentations. The best sessions included a lot of great debate and participation, the worst were the ones with long power points that were lecture stys.

12. While enjoyable, a lot of focus is on details in processes our registrar is not so involved in yet technically.

13. .

14. I think this summit is a perfect counterpart to the policy forum in summer. However, as for its short length I am not sure if I would attend 'everywhere in the world'.

15. you guyz are really doing a great job and thanks for that. But still if the organizing committee can further aid in the traveling facilitation will be better more especially for those from Africa.

16. More smaller sessions would be better. A room with 150 people is probably too big to get down and intensively work on specific issues. So more break-out sessions.

17. Great summit. They continue to improve.

18. yes, depending om where in the World the event is hosted, as a small Corporate Registrar we are not travelling worldwide for these events.

19. There were moments when the summit felt like an ad for the Domain Name Association. While they did sponsor the breaks and there are several members in attendance I would have like to see the session facilitators less biased towards DNA.

20. Good to have the summit in a 1st world country. Makes traveling and working easier, less stressful

21. See you next time.

22. Continue to have ICANN Board attend Finalize schedule and draft agenda earlier - I have no problem with leaving some slots TBD, but it would helpful to understand the schedule further in advance.

23. Advance planning (event dates & location + agenda) is critical. ICANN, RySG and RrSG need to avoid conflicts with other calendar events. Strongly consider returning to Europe to maximize attendance/minimize travel for most.

24. Thank you to ICANN staff

25. ICANN must be mindful of the budget constraints for many organisations. It might be beneficial to hold these events more often e.g. 2 per year x each Region and then the organisation can choose a couple to attend in the region that best suits their pocket

26. it's nice to be asked by Icann, we are pleased to talk to Mucesh Chulani Icann member, to know the things that bother us

27. Great job by the DNA in supporting the effort. I see Adrian's leadership alongside that of ICANN Staff as a driving force in the success of the conference.

28. We only started the work on the agenda at Marrakech , we should now have a decent framework ,so lets use that as a base to update the working topics we need roadmaps of what the ICANN ops teams are up for the short medium and longterm so we can ensure we can shape and improve the systems .

29. Keep selecting locations that are easy to access. The amount of attendees displays the success for easy access locations.

30. I think these Summits are an important chance for registries and registrars to discuss the business of domain names. I like the DNA leading these sessions and hope they will continue being involved.

31. Amsterdam was a perfect location for GDD.

32. While a certain informality is helpful in stimulating discussions, it is also important to maintain a tone of professionalism overall.

33. Currently no

34. Maybe ICANN GDD Summits should be held at fixed locations, such as 1 North America (New York, Miami), 1 Europe (London) and 1 Asia (Istanbul) instead of roating it too much.

35. Overall - very useful. Good to know that others share the same experiences which should make solution-finding easier.

36. The wifi at in the hotel was shocking.

37. I found the Summit extremely helpful for Registry / Registrar operators. The organizers managed to keep topics on point and free from heavy policy content unlike the majority of ICANN meetings

38. Thanks for arranging this summit!

39. Good to have space for Geosystems and others to gather. See CENTR jamboree for potential structure and Programme.

40. Use these summits with the business constituency and action orientation to help build a culture of action within the ICANN communities as compared to a culture of discussions and reviews that do not advance the industry. This is the Internet. If we do not develop an action oriented bias to deliver value to all community groups the Internet will move ahead without domains.

41. Time well spent.

42. Thank you.

43. Thanks for organizing a great event.

44. There should be a better way to measure overall feelings on how the group, as a whole, feels about the direction of the conversation as the conversation is happening. There are tech tools to do this using SMS.

45. some answers to the above questions can be more diverse. For example, " if the event held again, would you or a colleagues will attend?' It's not a yes or no question for us coz it all depends. Overall, the GDD summit is very productive and beneficial to all attended.