

Australian Government

Department of Communications

Dr Stephen Crocker Chair, ICANN Board 12025 Waterfront Drive, Suite 300 Los Angeles CA 90094-2536 USA

Dear Dr Crocker

Australian Government Position on .wine and .vin

I am writing to inform you that I have written to the relevant applicants to remind them of the Australian Government's position that the safeguards which were outlined in the GAC's Beijing Communiqué, and agreed by the Board, are appropriate and sufficient to address the potential for misuse of terms related to geographical indications (GIs) in the .wine and .vin new gTLDs.

As you are aware, the Australian Government considers that international issues relating to GIs are more appropriately addressed within the World Intellectual Property Organization and the World Trade Organization. I have reminded applicants of the Australian Government's position on this point.

For the purposes of transparency, I have enclosed a copy of these letters for the Board's information.

Yours sincerely

Keith Besgrove

First Assistant Secretary

Digital Services

Department of Communications

20 June 2014



John Kane 2 La Touche House IFSC, Dublin 1, IE

By Email: jkane@afilias.info

Dear Mr Kane

Your application for .wine

Further to the Australian Government's letters of July 2013 and May 2014, I am writing again in relation to your application for .wine. As you are aware, the Australian Government is of the view that the existing safeguards that were outlined in the GAC's Beijing Communiqué and agreed by ICANN's Board are appropriate and sufficient to address the potential for misuse of terms related to geographical indications (GIs) in the .wine and .vin new gTLDs.

I understand that the 60 day period that the NGPC allowed for negotiation in its Resolution of 4 April 2014 has now passed, and that the NGPC has also denied all Reconsideration Requests. As a result, the Australian Government sees no impediment to your application for .wine proceeding without further delay.

I have recently been made aware of proposals being put forward for consideration by registries, to create and extend protection to a new concept described as 'distinctive signs'. I want to emphasise that the Australian Government considers that international issues relating to GIs are more appropriately addressed within the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and the World Trade Organization. It would be inappropriate and a serious concern if registries were to attempt a de facto "redesign" of the internationally agreed settings – or, lacking the relevant expertise, would fail to apply these correctly in the design of a purported rights protection mechanism.

For the purposes of transparency, I have copied this letter to the GAC Chair and my GAC colleagues.

Yours sincerely

Keith Besgrove

First Assistant Secretary

Digital Services

Department of Communications

/8 June 2014



Department of Communications

Daniel Schindler 155 108th Avenue NE, Suite 510 Bellevue WA 98004 UNITED STATES

By Email: hollyshadow@donuts.co

Dear Mr Schindler

Your application for .vin

Further to the Australian Government's letters of July 2013 and May 2014, I am writing again in relation to your application for .vin. As you are aware, the Australian Government is of the view that the existing safeguards that were outlined in the GAC's Beijing Communiqué and agreed by ICANN's Board are appropriate and sufficient to address the potential for misuse of terms related to geographical indications (GIs) in the .wine and .vin new gTLDs.

I understand that the 60 day period that the NGPC allowed for negotiation in its Resolution of 4 April 2014 has now passed, and that the NGPC has also denied all Reconsideration Requests. As a result, the Australian Government sees no impediment to your application for .vin proceeding without further delay.

I have recently been made aware of proposals being put forward for consideration by registries, to create and extend protection to a new concept described as 'distinctive signs'. I want to emphasise that the Australian Government considers that international issues relating to GIs are more appropriately addressed within the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and the World Trade Organization. It would be inappropriate and a serious concern if registries were to attempt a de facto "redesign" of the internationally agreed settings – or, lacking the relevant expertise, would fail to apply these correctly in the design of a purported rights protection mechanism.

For the purposes of transparency, I have copied this letter to the GAC Chair and my GAC colleagues.

Yours sincerely

Keith Besgrove/

First Assistant Secretary

Digital Services

Department of Communications

S June 2014



Daniel Schindler 155 108th Avenue NE, Suite 510 Bellevue WA 98004 UNITED STATES

By Email: junestation@donuts.co

Dear Mr Schindler

Your application for .wine

Further to the Australian Government's letters of July 2013 and May 2014, I am writing again in relation to your application for .wine. As you are aware, the Australian Government is of the view that the existing safeguards that were outlined in the GAC's Beijing Communiqué and agreed by ICANN's Board are appropriate and sufficient to address the potential for misuse of terms related to geographical indications (GIs) in the .wine and .vin new gTLDs.

I understand that the 60 day period that the NGPC allowed for negotiation in its Resolution of 4 April 2014 has now passed, and that the NGPC has also denied all Reconsideration Requests. As a result, the Australian Government sees no impediment to your application for .wine proceeding without further delay.

I have recently been made aware of proposals being put forward for consideration by registries, to create and extend protection to a new concept described as 'distinctive signs'. I want to emphasise that the Australian Government considers that international issues relating to GIs are more appropriately addressed within the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and the World Trade Organization. It would be inappropriate and a serious concern if registries were to attempt a de facto "redesign" of the internationally agreed settings – or, lacking the relevant expertise, would fail to apply these correctly in the design of a purported rights protection mechanism.

For the purposes of transparency, I have copied this letter to the GAC Chair and my GAC colleagues.

Yours sincerely

Keith Besgrove First Assistant Secretary

Digital Services

Department of Communications
/ & June 2014



Geir Andreas Rasmussen 6A Queensway Gibraltar GX11 1AA. GI

By Email: icantas40@famousfourmedia.com

Dear Mr Rasmussen

Your application for .wine

Further to the Australian Government's letters of July 2013 and May 2014, I am writing again in relation to your application for .wine. As you are aware, the Australian Government is of the view that the existing safeguards that were outlined in the GAC's Beijing Communiqué and agreed by ICANN's Board are appropriate and sufficient to address the potential for misuse of terms related to geographical indications (GIs) in the .wine and .vin new gTLDs.

I understand that the 60 day period that the NGPC allowed for negotiation in its Resolution of 4 April 2014 has now passed, and that the NGPC has also denied all Reconsideration Requests. As a result, the Australian Government sees no impediment to your application for .wine proceeding without further delay.

I have recently been made aware of proposals being put forward for consideration by registries, to create and extend protection to a new concept described as 'distinctive signs'. I want to emphasise that the Australian Government considers that international issues relating to GIs are more appropriately addressed within the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and the World Trade Organization. It would be inappropriate and a serious concern if registries were to attempt a de facto "redesign" of the internationally agreed settings – or, lacking the relevant expertise, would fail to apply these correctly in the design of a purported rights protection mechanism.

For the purposes of transparency, I have copied this letter to the GAC Chair and my GAC colleagues.

Yours sincerely

Keith Besgrove
First Assistant Secretary

Digital Services

Department of Communications
// June 2014