

Ticket ID: B6N0K-3D2M2

Registry Name: I-REGISTRY Ltd., Niederlassung Deutschland (.ONL)

gTLD: .ONL

Status: Approved, Pending Contract Amendment

Status Date: 2014-03-31 09:59:29 Print Date: 2014-04-19 00:35:44

## **Proposed Service**

Name of I	Proposed	Service:
-----------	----------	----------

IDN request

Technical description of Proposed Service:

Add Section 3 of Exhibit A with the following:

3. Internationalized Domain Names (IDNs)

Registry Operator may offer registration of IDNs at the second and lower levels provided that Registry Operator complies with the following requirements:

- 3.1. Registry Operator must offer Registrars support for handling IDN registrations in EPP.
- 3.2. Registry Operator must handle variant IDNs as follows:
- 3.2.1. By default variant IDNs (as defined in the Registry Operator's IDN tables and IDN Registration Rules) must be blocked from registration.
- 3.2.2. Variant IDNs may be activated when requested by the sponsoring Registrar of the canonical name as described in the IDN Tables and IDN Registration Rules.
- 3.2.3. Active variant IDNs must be provisioned in the TLD's DNS zone file as zone cuts using the same NS resource records as the canonical name.
- 3.3. Registry Operator may offer registration of IDNs in the following languages/scripts (IDN Tables and IDN Registration Rules will be published by the Registry Operator as specified in the ICANN IDN Implementation Guidelines):

German (DE)

Danish (DA)

Hungarian (HU)

Icelandic (IS)

Korean (KO)

Lithuanian (LT)

Latvian (LV)

Polish (PL)



Ticket ID: B6N0K-3D2M2

Registry Name: I-REGISTRY Ltd., Niederlassung Deutschland (.ONL)

gTLD: .ONL

Status: Approved, Pending Contract Amendment

Status Date: 2014-03-31 09:59:29 Print Date: 2014-04-19 00:35:44

Swedish (SV)

Spanish (ES)

Chinese (Simplified)

Chinese (Traditional)

Russian (RU)

Belarusian (BE)

Ukrainian (UK)

Bosnian (BS)

Serbian (SR)

Macedonian (MK)

Bulgarian (BG)

Montenegro (ME)

Note: These IDNs have been described in the application for .ONL according to question 44, and the respective attachment to question 44 "44\_idn\_language\_tablesv2".

#### Consultation

Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?:

No consultations took place, since the proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored TLD community?:

No consultations took place, since the proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?:

No consultations took place, since the proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.



Ticket ID: B6N0K-3D2M2

Registry Name: I-REGISTRY Ltd., Niederlassung Deutschland (.ONL)

gTLD: .ONL

Status: Approved, Pending Contract Amendment

Status Date: 2014-03-31 09:59:29 Print Date: 2014-04-19 00:35:44

c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

No consultations took place, since the proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

No consultations took place, since the proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

No consultations took place, since the proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were(or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?:

No consultations took place, since the proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

#### **Timeline**

Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service:

As soon as possible, since the proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

### **Business Description**

Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered:



Ticket ID: B6N0K-3D2M2

Registry Name: I-REGISTRY Ltd., Niederlassung Deutschland (.ONL)

gTLD: .ONL

Status: Approved, Pending Contract Amendment

Status Date: 2014-03-31 09:59:29 Print Date: 2014-04-19 00:35:44

The proposed service will be offered according to the description in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service:

The quality assurance and testing for the proposed service will be offered according to the description in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant.:

The proposed service will be offered according to the description including RFCs in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

### **Contractual Provisions**

List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service:

There are no contractual provisions impacted, since the proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN:

There will be no effect other than described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?:

There will be no effect other than described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

#### **Contract Amendments**



Ticket ID: B6N0K-3D2M2

Registry Name: I-REGISTRY Ltd., Niederlassung Deutschland (.ONL)

gTLD: .ONL

Status: Approved, Pending Contract Amendment

Status Date: 2014-03-31 09:59:29 Print Date: 2014-04-19 00:35:44

Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service:

Add Section 3 of Exhibit A with the following:

3. Internationalized Domain Names (IDNs)

Registry Operator may offer registration of IDNs at the second and lower levels provided that Registry Operator complies with the following requirements:

- 3.1. Registry Operator must offer Registrars support for handling IDN registrations in EPP.
- 3.2. Registry Operator must handle variant IDNs as follows:
- 3.2.1. By default variant IDNs (as defined in the Registry Operator's IDN tables and IDN Registration Rules) must be blocked from registration.
- 3.2.2. Variant IDNs may be activated when requested by the sponsoring Registrar of the canonical name as described in the IDN Tables and IDN Registration Rules.
- 3.2.3. Active variant IDNs must be provisioned in the TLD's DNS zone file as zone cuts using the same NS resource records as the canonical name.
- 3.3. Registry Operator may offer registration of IDNs in the following languages/scripts (IDN Tables and IDN Registration Rules will be published by the Registry Operator as specified in the ICANN IDN Implementation Guidelines):

German (DE)

Danish (DA)

Hungarian (HU)

Icelandic (IS)

Korean (KO)

Lithuanian (LT)

Latvian (LV)

Polish (PL)

Swedish (SV)

Spanish (ES)

Chinese (Simplified)

Chinese (Traditional)

Russian (RU)

Belarusian (BE)

Ukrainian (UK)

Bosnian (BS)



Ticket ID: B6N0K-3D2M2

Registry Name: I-REGISTRY Ltd., Niederlassung Deutschland (.ONL)

gTLD: .ONL

Status: Approved, Pending Contract Amendment

Status Date: 2014-03-31 09:59:29 Print Date: 2014-04-19 00:35:44

Serbian (SR) Macedonian (MK) Bulgarian (BG) Montenegro (ME)

Note: These IDNs have been described in the application for .ONL according to question 44, and the respective attachment to question 44 "44\_idn\_language\_tablesv2".

#### **Benefits of Service**

Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service:

The benefits of the proposed service have been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

### Competition

Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain.:

There will be neither positive nor negative effects on competition, since the proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?:

The markets for the proposed service have been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?:

This does not apply. The proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?:



Ticket ID: B6N0K-3D2M2

Registry Name: I-REGISTRY Ltd., Niederlassung Deutschland (.ONL)

gTLD: .ONL

Status: Approved, Pending Contract Amendment

Status Date: 2014-03-31 09:59:29 Print Date: 2014-04-19 00:35:44

This does not apply. The proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide.:

No vendor except our backend provider Afilias is involved in the proposed registry services which has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications.:

This does not apply. The proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential).:

This does not apply. The proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

### Security and Stability

Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?:

This does not apply. The proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of reponses to Internet servers or end systems:

This does not apply. The proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?:

This does not apply. The proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.



Ticket ID: B6N0K-3D2M2

Registry Name: I-REGISTRY Ltd., Niederlassung Deutschland (.ONL)

gTLD: .ONL

Status: Approved, Pending Contract Amendment

Status Date: 2014-03-31 09:59:29 Print Date: 2014-04-19 00:35:44

### Other Issues

Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service:

This does not apply. The proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?:

This does not apply. The proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service:

This does not apply. The proposed service has been described in the initial application for .ONL in 2012.

Any other relevant information to include with this request:

This RSEP request is a follow-up on CSC case 00112023:

We figured out that by mistake in the RA for .ONL in Exhibit A the IDNs have not been listed. However, they had been included in our application and also contracting information request. We stated in our application in our answers to question 23 and 44 how the registry will support the registration of IDN labels in the TLD:

Question 23: ..."Internationalized Domain Names (IDNs): Ability to support all protocol valid Unicode characters at every level of the TLD, including alphabetic, ideographic and right-to-left scripts, in conformance with the ICANN IDN Guidelines. Please see our response to question #44 for full details, which we request be incorporated here by reference."...

Question 44: ..."Outside the actual IDNA protocol implementation that enables the IDN registrations and resolutions, the IDN Tables are the core component of IDN implementation. The IDN Tables holds the characters that this TLD supports, separated into languages and/or scripts. The IDN Tables also identify if variants of certain characters exists and therefore need to enable reservation, blocking, or bundling of domain names. See more details below under the IDN Registration Policy.

Afilias has reviewed, and implemented the following existing IDN:

- o German (DE)
- o Danish (DA)



Ticket ID: B6N0K-3D2M2

Registry Name: I-REGISTRY Ltd., Niederlassung Deutschland (.ONL)

gTLD: .ONL

**Status: Approved, Pending Contract Amendment** 

Status Date: 2014-03-31 09:59:29 Print Date: 2014-04-19 00:35:44

- o Hungarian (HU)
- o Icelandic (IS)
- o Korean (KO)
- o Lithuanian (LT)
- o Latvian (LV)
- o Polish (PL)
- o Swedish (SV)
- o Spanish (ES)
- o Chinese (Simplified and Traditional)
- o Russian (RU)
- o Belarusian (BE)
- o Ukrainian (UK)
- o Bosnian (BS)
- o Serbian (SR)
- o Macedonian (MK)
- o Bulgarian (BG)
- o Montenegro (ME)

The respective language tables had been listed in the attachment to question 44 "44\_idn\_language\_tablesv2".

Consistent with these application details we provided you with the information in the "Contracting Information request". However, the specified IDNs were not included when issuing the contract. It is obvious that this happened by mistake, since the following contract for our TLD .RICH with the same IDNs has been issued correctly.