

# Report of Public Comments

<b>Title:</b>	Consultation on Secure Notification Processes		
<b>Publication Date:</b>	3 June 2013		
<b>Prepared By:</b>	Kim Davies		
<b>Comment Period:</b>		<b>Important Information Links</b>	
Comment Open Date:	12 December 2012	Announcement	
Comment Close Date:	28 February 2013	Public Comment Box	
Reply Close Date:	21 March 2013	View Comments Submitted	
Time (UTC):	2359	Report of Public Comments	
<b>Staff Contact:</b>	Kim Davies	<b>Email:</b>	kim.davies@icann.org
<b>Section I: General Overview and Next Steps</b>			
Considering the input received, ICANN plans to evolve the secure notification process for the IANA functions based on its current approach along with the proposed updates described in the consultation text.			
<b>Section II: Contributors</b>			
<p><i>At the time this report was prepared, a total of [number] (n) community submissions had been posted to the Forum. The contributors, both individuals and organizations/groups, are listed below in chronological order by posting date with initials noted. To the extent that quotations are used in the foregoing narrative (Section III), such citations will reference the contributor's initials.</i></p>			
<b>Organizations and Groups:</b>			
<b>Name</b>	<b>Submitted by</b>	<b>Initials</b>	
Stiftelsen för Internetinfrastruktur (.SE)	Roger Murray		
PROCERT	Oscar Lovera		
<b>Individuals:</b>			
<b>Name</b>	<b>Affiliation (if provided)</b>	<b>Initials</b>	
<b>Section III: Summary of Comments</b>			
<p><i>General Disclaimer: This section is intended to broadly and comprehensively summarize the comments submitted to this Forum, but not to address every specific position stated by each contributor. Staff recommends that readers interested in specific aspects of any of the summarized comments, or the full context of others, refer directly to the specific contributions at the link referenced above (View Comments Submitted).</i></p>			
Murray supported ICANN's current methodology and proposed updates, and did not suggest any			

further updates or amendments to this approach.

Lovera submitted a commercial expression of interest to build a notification system.

#### **Section IV: Analysis of Comments**

*General Disclaimer: This section is intended to provide an analysis and evaluation of the comments received along with explanations regarding the basis for any recommendations provided within the analysis.*

The only response that spoke to the terms of reference of the consultation supported ICANN's current and planned approach. As such, ICANN intends to evolve the secure notification process for the IANA functions based on its current approach along with the proposed updates described in the consultation text.